Temporal Information Systems

SS 2015

Bi-Temporal Databases – Managing History in Two Dimensions





Bi-Temporal Data Management



- In this chapter, we will discuss databases where certain tables contain temporal data (i.e., leep histories) about both, the real world (valid time) and the database (transaction time).
- Such tables are called **bi-temporal tables** (from lat. "bi"=,,two times, twice").
- In some research contributions, another "temporal dimension" is proposed: Columns containing temporal data elements for which it is not known (or irrelevant) whether they refer to the "outside world" or are maintained "inside the DBMS" are called user-defined time columns.

A bitemporal table is a glorious structure. It simultaneously records the history of the enterprise, while also capturing the sequence of changes to the record of that history. Bitemporal tables permit queries on the history as best known (over valid time, with a transaction time of "now"), queries on the change history of a stored data item (over transaction time, with a fixed valid time), and gueries on the interaction of valid time and transaction time (for example, finding that information stored retroactively, after the fact). It is this range of gueries that makes bitemporal tables so versatile and useful.

(from: R. Snodgrass "Developing Time-Oriented DB Applications in SQL", p. 276)

Running Example on Bitemporal Data Management



(VT: valid time, TT: transaction time)

- The example and the following discussion is once again based on the book by Richard Snodgrass, this time on chapter 10 (relational schema slightly modified).
- We are going to discuss modifications and queries, in order to illustrate typical problems of maintaining bitemporality.

Modifications of Bitemporal Tables

- On the following slides, we are going to discuss how to properly modify bitemporal tables (by means of recording several property sales transactions in the example DB).
- All of these modifications are current modifications as far as transaction time is concerned, thus it is not allowed to the user of the database to
 - ... logically modify any of the TT timestamps once generated, or to
 - ... logically delete any records once created. Only the DBMS is allowed to do so!
- Nevertheless, valid time information may be changed, if ,,better information" about the past becomes available (sequenced modifications for VT).
- We do not consider any VT entries for future states here, different from Snodgrass!
- Thus, we again start with logical changes (corresponding to non-temporal versions of the modification) and derive suitable physical implementations from them.
- Snodgrass recommends to proceed in two stages:
 - First, express the valid time implementation (ignoring TT for the moment).
 - Then consider transaction time in a second step.

Current Insertion (1)

1) On January 10, 1998, Eva buys property 7797. This fact is recorded in the DB on that day.

INSERT INTO Owner (customer, property, VT_Begin, VT_End, TT_Start, TT_Stop) VALUES ("Eva", 7797, CURRENT_DATE, DATE '9999-12-31', CURRENT_DATE, DATE '9999-12-31')

(blue: logical insertion, red: physical after adding VT, green: physical with TT)



Current Update (1)

2) On January 15, Eva sells property 7797 to Peter.

logical update (non-temporal):



(CURRENT_DATE: 1998-01-**15**)

Reminder: Mapping Current Updates in General

- In Chap. 3, we already discussed translating logical current updates into physical current updates for TT tables. Remember: We deviated slightly from Snodgrass' translation!
- Now, we deal with VT current updates, but the general idea is the same (keeping in mind that the result of translation is not yet physical, but a logical intermediate step). Therefore we will have to deviate from Snodgrass again. Here is the general format:



Current Update (2)



Current Update (3)



Current Update (4)

State of table "Owner" before implementing the sales from Eva to Peter:

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 |

1st step: Add information about the new owner valid from now till changed in both, VT and TT:

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |

2nd step: Add new version (TT now) of old owner row, a copy of old Eva row with VT validity ended:

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |

3rd step: End TT validity of old Eva row:

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |

Current Deletion (1)

3) Peter sells the flat on January 20

(to somebody who is not in our customer table, thus this flat is no longer visible to us).



Reminder: Mapping Current Deletions in General

- As for mapping logical deletions to physical updates, we deviated from Snodgrass' proposal in chapter 3 and have to do the same here, thus deviating from his bi-temporal proposal as well.
- Again, we first perform the translation previously applied to TT only to the VT part of the intended change (and then map the resulting "in between" update to its physical TT counterpart).
- As a reminder, see the principle of mapping logical deletions to physical updates again:



Current Deletion (2)

Now apply this technique to the 3rd event in our "sales story":

Peter sells the flat on January 20.



Current Deletion (3)



Current Deletion (4)

State of table "Owner" before implementing the sales from Peter to the anonymous buyer (on Jan. 20):

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |

1st step: Add information about the new end date of validity of Peter's ownership in VT (do so TT now):

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 | 1998-01-20 | 9999-12-31 |

2nd step: End TT validity of old Peter row:

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 | 1998-01-20 | 9999-12-31 |

VT Sequenced Insertion

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 | 1998-01-20 | 9999-12-31 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-03 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-23 | 9999-12-31 |



VT Sequenced Deletion

5) On January 26 we learn that Eva bought the flat not on January 10, nor on January 3, but (really?) on January 5. This can be viewed as a sequenced deletion for Jan. 3 and 4.

logically: VT sequenced deletion physically: TT current insertion + TT current update

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 | 1998-01-20 | 9999-12-31 |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-03 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-23 | 9999-12-31 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | ▼ | | |
| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start | TT Stop |
| customer Eva | property 7797 | VT Begin 1998-01-10 | VT End 9999-12-31 | TT Start 1998-01-10 | TT Stop 1998-01-15 |
| customer Eva Eva | property 7797 7797 | VT Begin 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 | VT End 9999-12-31 1998-01-15 | TT Start 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 | TT Stop 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 |
| customer Eva Eva Peter | property 7797 7797 7797 7797 | VT Begin 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 | VT End 9999-12-31 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 | TT Start 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 | TT Stop 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 |
| customer Eva Eva Peter Peter | property 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 | VT Begin 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 | VT End 9999-12-31 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 | TT Start 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 1998-01-20 | TT Stop 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 9999-12-31 |
| customer Eva Eva Peter Peter Eva | property 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 | VT Begin 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 1998-01-03 | VT End 9999-12-31 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 1998-01-10 | TT Start 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 1998-01-20 1998-01-23 | TT Stop 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 9999-12-31 1998-01-26 |

VT Sequenced Update

6) On January 28 we learn that Peter bought the flat from Eva on January 12, not 15.

logically: VT sequenced update physically: 2 TT current insertions + 3 TT current updates

| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start TT Stop | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 | |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 | |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-15 1998-01-20 | |
| Peter | 7797 | 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-20 | 1998-01-20 9999-12-31 | |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-03 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-23 1998-01-26 | |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-05 | 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-26 9999-12-31 | |
| | | | | | |
| customer | property | VT Begin | VT End | TT Start TT Stop | |
| | | | | | |
| Eva | 7797 | 1998-01-10 |) 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-1 | 5 |
| Eva Eva | 7797 7797 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 |) 9999-12-31) 1998-01-15 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-1 1998-01-15 1998-01-2 | 15 28 |
| Eva Eva Peter | 7797 7797 7797 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 |) 9999-12-31) 1998-01-15 5 9999-12-31 | 1998-01-101998-01-11998-01-151998-01-21998-01-151998-01-2 | 15 28 20 |
| Eva Eva Peter Peter | 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 | 9999-12-311998-01-159999-12-311998-01-20 | 1998-01-101998-01-11998-01-151998-01-21998-01-151998-01-21998-01-201998-01-2 | 15 28 20 28 |
| Eva Eva Peter Peter Eva | 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 1998-01-03 | 9999-12-31 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-101998-01-11998-01-151998-01-21998-01-151998-01-21998-01-201998-01-21998-01-231998-01-2 | 15 28 20 28 26 |
| Eva Eva Peter Peter Eva Eva | 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 1998-01-03 1998-01-05 | 9999-12-31 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 | 1998-01-101998-01-11998-01-151998-01-21998-01-151998-01-21998-01-201998-01-21998-01-231998-01-21998-01-261998-01-2 | 15 28 20 28 26 28 |
| Eva Eva Peter Peter Eva Eva Eva | 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 7797 | 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-15 1998-01-15 1998-01-03 1998-01-05 1998-01-05 | 9999-12-31 1998-01-15 9999-12-31 1998-01-20 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-10 1998-01-12 | 1998-01-101998-01-11998-01-151998-01-21998-01-151998-01-21998-01-201998-01-21998-01-231998-01-21998-01-261998-01-21998-01-289999-12-3 | 15 28 20 28 26 28 26 28 31 |

SQL:2011 Tutorial by Krishna Kulkarni (IBM)



SQL:2011: System-Versioned Application Time Period Tables (1)



SQL:2011: System-Versioned Application Time Period Tables (2)

Inserting rows into a (formerly called) bitemporal table – application time values this time are future dates (planning), system time values are current and generated by the system:

On 11/01/1995, *employees* table was updated to show that John and Tracy will be joining the departments J13 & K25, respectively, starting from 11/15/1995.

INSERT INTO employees (emp_name, dept_id, start_date, end_date) VALUES ('John', 'J13', DATE '1995-11-15', DATE '9999-12-31'),

('Tracy', 'K25', DATE '1995-11-15', DATE '9999-12-31)

employees

| emp_name | dept_id | start_date | end_date | system_start | system_end |
|----------|---------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| John | J13 | 11/15/1995 🖌 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 12/31/9999 |
| Тгасу | K25 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 12/31/9999 |

SQL:2011: System-Versioned Application Time Period Tables (3)



SQL:2011: System-Versioned Application Time Period Tables (4)

employees

| | emp_name | dept_id | start_date | end_date | system_start | system_end |
|------------|----------|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| ald states | John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/10/1995 | 12/31/9999 |
| old state: | John | J13 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 11/10/1995 |
| | Тгасу | K25 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 12/31/9999 |

On 12/15/1997, John is loaned to Dept M12 starting from 1/1/1998 to 7/1/1998.

| SET dept_ic | ATE '1998 = 'M12" 4 | -01-01' TO HERE emp | DATE '1998 _name = 'Jo | -07-01' hn' | // |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------|
| employe | es | | | | |
| Emp_name | e dept_id | etart_date | end_date | system_start | system_end |
| John | J15 | 07/01/1998 | 12/31/9999 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 |
| John | M12 | 01/01/1998 | 07/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 |
| John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 01/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 |
| John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/10/1995 | 12/15/1997 |
| John | J13 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 11/10/1995 |
| Tracy | K25 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 12/31/9999 |

SQL:2011: System-Versioned Application Time Period Tables (5)

employees

| | | Emp_name | dept_id | etart_date | end_date | system_start | system_end |
|---|------------|----------|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | old state: | John | J15 | 07/01/1998 | 12/31/9999 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 |
| | | John | M12 | 01/01/1998 | 07/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 |
| | | John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 01/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 |
| On 12/15/1998, John is approved for a leave | | John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/10/1995 | 12/15/1997 |
| of absence from 1/1/1999 to 1/1/2000. | | John | J13 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 11/10/1995 |
| | | Тгасу | K25 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 12/31/9999 |

DELETE FROM employees FOR PORTION OF emp_period FROM DATE 1999-01-01' TO DATE '2000-01-01

WHERE emp_name = 'John'

employees emp_name dept_id start date end date system start system_end John J15 01/01/2000 12/31/9999 12/15/1998 12/31/9999 J15 John 07/01/1998 01/01/1999 12/15/1998 12/31/9999 12/15/1997 John M12 01/01/1998 07/01/1998 12/31/9999 John J15 11/15/1995 01/01/1998 12/15/1997 12/31/9999 12/15/1998 John J15 07/01/1998 12/31/9999 12/15/1997 John J15 11/15/1995 12/31/9999 11/10/1995 12/15/1997 J13 John 11/15/1995 12/31/9999 11/01/1995 11/10/1995 K25 11/15/1995 12/31/9999 11/01/1995 12/31/9999 Tracy

SQL:2011: System-Versioned Application Time Period Tables (6)

| | employees | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
| | emp_name | dept_id | start_date | end_date | system_start | system_end | | |
| old state: | John | J15 | 01/01/2000 | 12/31/9999 | 12/15/1998 | 12/31/9999 | | |
| old state. | John | J15 | 07/01/1998 | 01/01/1999 | 12/15/1998 | 12/31/9999 | | |
| | John | M12 | 01/01/1998 | 07/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 | | |
| | John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 01/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 12/31/9999 | | |
| _ | John | J15 | 07/01/1998 | 12/31/9999 | 12/15/1997 | 12/15/1998 | | |
| | John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/10/1995 | 12/15/1997 | | |
| company. | John | J13 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 11/10/1995 | | |
| | Тгасу | K25 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 12/31/9999 | | |

On 6/1/2000, John resigns from the company.

DELETE FROM employees

WHERE emp_name = 'John'

employees

| emp_name | dept_id | start_date | end_date | system_start | system_end |
|----------|---------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| John | J15 | 01/01/2000 | 12/31/9999 | 12/15/1998 | 06/01/2000 |
| John | J15 | 07/01/1998 | 01/01/1999 | 12/15/1998 | 06/01/2000 |
| John | M12 | 01/01/1998 | 07/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 06/01/2000 |
| John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 01/01/1998 | 12/15/1997 | 06/01/2000 |
| John | J15 | 07/01/1998 | 12/31/1999 | 12/15/1997 | 12/15/1998 |
| John | J15 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/10/1995 | 12/15/1997 |
| John | J13 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 11/10/1995 |
| Тгасу | K25 | 11/15/1995 | 12/31/9999 | 11/01/1995 | 12/31/9999 |

Temporal Queries on Bitemporal Tables

- We now turn to bitemporal queries, where the temporal aspects of the query condition refer to either VT, or TT, or to both. Thus, we ask queries about what happened in the "world" and/or what the DB knows (or better: knew when) about these events.
- We first look at several examples of time-slice queries, asking about a particular day in history: VT only, TT only, and VT+TT.
- We then go through a range of bitemporal queries that are classified similarly to the classification used in chapter 3 (when discussing temporal queries against just TT tables):
 - current queries
 - sequenced queries
 - nonsequenced queries

Time Slice Query (1)

What was known about the history of flat 7797 on January 18, 1998?



Time Slice Query (2)

When was information about the owner of flat 7797 on January 13 recorded in the DB?



Time Slice Query (3)

Give the ownership history of flat 7797 on January 13, as stored in the DB on January 18!



The resulting (bitemporal) snapshot table (obviously omitting the timestamps) just contains the customer name "Eva".

tt = 18 *Transaction time*

20

25

30

15

5

10

Spectrum of Bitemporal Queries Illustrated

- We conclude the discussion of bitemporality with a set of variants of an example query using different combinations of time reference for the two dimensions:
 - time-slice, in this example always ,,now", i.e., current query
 - sequenced
 - nonsequenced
- If applying these query attributes to valid time, the meaning is as follows:
 - current: valid now
 - sequenced: history of validity
 - nonsequenced: valid at some time (ignoring historical sequence)
- If referring to transaction time, this is the meaning:
 - current: as best known today
 - sequenced: as recorded in the database (retaining history)
 - nonsequenced: as recorded at some time (ignoring history)
- Exploring all possible combinations thus means to look at nine different variants.